

**Addendum:**

**Two short proofs regarding the logarithmic least squares optimality in Chen, K., Kou, G., Tarn, J.M., Song, J. (2015): Bridging the gap between missing and inconsistent values in eliciting preference from pairwise comparison matrices, *Annals of Operations Research* 235(1):155-175**

Sándor Bozóki<sup>1,2,3</sup>

The incomplete logarithmic least squares (LLS) problem has been solved in [1, Section 4]. Theorems 1 and 2 in [2] are special cases, and short proofs can be given with the help of the Laplacian matrix.

Proof of Theorem 2 in [2]: We can assume without loss of generality that  $i = 1, j = 2$  and elements  $a_{1k}, a_{2k}$  and their reciprocals are known for  $k = 3, 4, \dots, n - m$ , and the remaining elements  $a_{12}, a_{21}$  as well as  $a_{1k}, a_{2k}$  and their reciprocals are unknown for  $k = n - m + 1, \dots, n$ . Let us write the conditions of LLS optimality, a system of linear equations (30) in [1], it is sufficient to detail the first two rows of the matrix of coefficients.

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cccc|ccc} n-m-2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & n-m-2 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-m} \\ y_{n-m+1} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{1k} \\ \log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $y_i = \log w_i$ . The first two equations are

$$(n-m-2)y_1 - (y_3 + \dots + y_{n-m}) = \log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{1k},$$

$$(n-m-2)y_2 - (y_3 + \dots + y_{n-m}) = \log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{2k},$$

and their difference results in

$$y_1 - y_2 = \frac{\log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{1k} - \log \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} a_{2k}}{n-m-2},$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory on Engineering and Management Intelligence, Research Group of Operations Research and Decision Systems, Institute for Computer Science and Control, Hungarian Academy of Sciences (MTA SZTAKI); Mail: 1518 Budapest, P.O. Box 63, Hungary. E-mail: bozoki.sandor@sztaki.mta.hu

<sup>2</sup>Department of Operations Research and Actuarial Sciences, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup>Research was supported by the János Bolyai Research Fellowship no. BO/00154/16/3 of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, grant OTKA K111797.

or equivalently,

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} = \left( \prod_{k=3}^{n-m} \frac{a_{1k}}{a_{2k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-m-2}}. \quad \square$$

Proof of **Theorem 1** in [2]: Apply the previous proof with  $m = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bozóki, S., Fülöp, J., Rónyai, L. (2010): On optimal completion of incomplete pairwise comparison matrices, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 52(1-2):318–333
- [2] Chen, K., Kou, G., Tarn, J.M., Song, J. (2015): Bridging the gap between missing and inconsistent values in eliciting preference from pairwise comparison matrices, *Annals of Operations Research* 235(1):155–175