European manufacturing industry would benefit by transforming the current hierarchical supply chains into networks, based on partnerships with a new understanding of business approaches and services provided to the partners (B2B) and to the final customers (B2C). To this end, we investigated innovative decision-making methods that integrate production planning at single plants into the management of the whole global network. The research is funded by the European Union within the RobustPlaNet 7th Framework project, involving four academic and seven industrial partners from Hungary, Germany, Italy and The Netherlands.

Robustness aspects of production planning
In general, production planning methods rely on deterministic input data, hence fail to cope with the dynamic effects of the execution environment and the considerable uncertainty of the underlying planning information. Robust techniques that can provide feasible production plans are required to address these challenges. Robustness in production planning involves refined approaches that can handle predictable or unpredictable changes and disturbances, respond to the occurrence of uncertain events (reactive approaches) or protect the performance of the plan by anticipating uncertain events (proactive approaches) [1]. In our methodology, robust planning is the logical layer of the robust production. A production plan is considered robust if it achieves an acceptable level of the selected performance indicators even when unpredictable disruptions occur during the execution of the plan. The robustness of the system often works against other efficiency criteria, hence a trade-off is required if the objective is to increase system’s robustness. In the RobustPlaNet project, robust planning methods are defined both at the network and plant levels of the production hierarchy (Figure 1).

Planning in supply networks
The main goal on the network level is to enable robust and system-wide efficient production in a dynamic, unpredictable and global environment. Performance can be improved by implementing three complementary tasks. Firstly, the network design deals with configuration and re-configuration in order to provide a structure that is robust against diverse, largely unpredictable supply chain risks. Secondly, the supply chain planning matches supply and demand by considering statistically predictable fluctuations and disturbances. Finally, the coordination mechanism harmonizes the decisions made in a distributed manner, and supplements the risk management toolkit with risk sharing schemes.

The proposed methodology for supply network planning starts with identifying and analyzing the main sources of disturbances. Possible ways of managing these problems are then investigated, including estimated cost analysis. Owing to the complexity of a networked production system, the resultant alternative action plans are then evaluated in a multi-method simulation environment, enabling the combination of multi-agent, discrete event, and system dynamic modelling aspects in a general framework. This planning process will enable the network to respond to various circumstances, supporting efficient operations on the lower levels of the production hierarchy.

Robust production at the plant level
At the plant level in the production hierarchy, planning methods are aimed at calculating robust production plans that respect the possible uncertainties of a real production environment, maintaining the target level of key performance indicators, although disturbances occur during the execution of the plan. The robustness of the plans is provided
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In recent years, business process management has become increasingly popular in many industrial contexts and application domains. This is mainly because it facilitates the modelling of process specifications and the development of an executable framework, while providing concise definitions and taxonomies. The data acquired during the business process execution phase can be used for quality analysis and to demonstrate compliance to specifications. We describe an experience in the real world context of the Livorno Port Authority.

Business process management usually relies on a Business Process Model (BPM), specified using one of the available Business Process Modelling Notations (BPMN). Working with the Livorno Port Authority, we successfully adopted BPM data analysis to find commonalities and discrepancies between the mandatory guidelines for controlling the arrival and departure of goods and the current implementation of the shipping systems known as the Tuscan Port Community System (TPCS) [3].

TPCS is a web-services based platform with multilevel access control and data recovery facilities, which aims to support and strengthen the management of shipping lanes according to Italian regulations. TPCS processes a huge amount of information, enabling a reduction in costs and the streamlining of administrative procedures. It can be used for the complete management of all connected applications handling cargo movements and import/export operations, and involving all the actors interested in the information flow, such as shipping agencies, custom forwarders, freight forwarders, terminals, hauliers, and also the Control Authorities.

The users can generate and manage Cargo Manifests during export, and Unloading Lists during import operations. In both operations, users can interact with the platform in order to know the status of goods (e.g. loaded or unloaded, cleared for customs etc.). They can also request and receive goods certificates and authorizations (e.g. phytosanitary authorization) for dangerous goods via the SUD (Sportello Unico Doganale - Customs Single Window) interface.

A storytelling approach has been used to create the business process model for the TPCS. The method is summarised in Figure 2, where three main stakeholders carry out the tasks proposed by the storytelling methodology: the Tellers (Port Community Experts), the Facilitator and the Modellers (BPM Experts). The Tellers are those individuals who participate in the process and therefore have domain knowledge. They are asked to describe their activities explicitly through a story. The Facilitator is an experienced professional in the application domain who helps the story tellers to produce coherent stories and the first abstraction of the models. The